

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,105	07/31/2003	James J. Schwab	02ML-104917	7747
30764	7590 12/30/2004	·	EXAMINER	
SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP 333 SOUTH HOPE STREET 48TH FLOOR			LAWRENCE J	R, FRANK M
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	LES, CA 90071-1448		1724	

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Antion Common to		10/631,105	SCHWAB, JAMES J.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
		Frank M. Lawrence	1724					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-14 and 16-23</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) 7 and 15 is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers		•					
9) 🔲	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr							
11) 📋	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		eceived in this National Stage					
* 5	application from the International Bur							
~ 3	ee the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies not r	eceived.					
Attachment	(s)							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date  ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S Patent and Tr	demands Office							

edu ( 1990 e 216 de 1900 e 1945 de 1945

Application/Control Number: 10/631,105 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 17 is rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35
 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim must be in one sentence form only.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Selway (3,456,928).
- 5. Selway '928 teaches a gas cleaning method, comprising removing larger entrained particles in an upstream scrubber having a restricted throat (10) and a sprayer (9) for contacting droplets with particles, collecting a removing droplets and particles via a drain (21), and removing remaining finer entrained particles from the scrubbed stream in a downstream venturi scrubber (28,31) with a sprayer (30) (see figure 3, col. 4, line 31 to col. 5, line 39).
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 8-10, 16, 17 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Keinanen et al. (6,149,715).

Application/Control Number: 10/631,105

Art Unit: 1724

7. Keinanen et al. '715 teach a gas cleaning system, comprising a first venturi scrubber (5) having a spray nozzle (7) for creating liquid droplets in a gas stream to contact dust particles in the stream, a scrubber (10) for coalescing and removing the droplets and particles, and a second

Page 3

venturi scrubber (13) having nozzles (14) for contacting entrained particles not removed in the

first scrubbers (see figures, col. 4, line 51 to col. 5, line 38). The second venturi scrubber has a

smaller diameter than the first venturi scrubber, which will inherently allow a greater pressure

drop, and finer particles will pass further into the scrubbing system.

8. Claims 16, 17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Calaceto (4,460,517).

9. Calaceto '517 teaches a process for removing particles from a gas stream, comprising

removing particles having a diameter of greater than 2 microns in parallel venturi scrubbers with

contact sprayers for generating droplets, collecting and removing the droplets through drains

(52), and removing remaining smaller particles in a downstream electrostatic precipitator (50)

(see figure 1, col. 3, line 37 to col. 5, line 7). An 8" water pressure drop is used for the venturi

scrubbers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claims 3, 6, 11, 14, 18, 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Keinanen et al. '715 in view of Schwab (6,383,260).

Application/Control Number: 10/631,105

Art Unit: 1724

Page 4

- 12. Keinanen et al. '715 disclose all of the limitations of the claims except that the droplets have a diameter of from about 200-750 microns. Schwab '260 discloses a venturi scrubber for removing particles from a gas stream using a sprayer to produce droplets having a 40-200 micron diameter, and also teaches that prior art venturi scrubbers produced droplets having a 500-2000 micron diameter (col. 2, lines 21-26, col. 4, lines 3-21). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Keinanen et al. '715 by using a preferred contact droplet size in order to provide an optimum removal efficiency based on the size of particulates in the process gas stream.
- 13. Claims 4, 5, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keinanen et al. '715 in view of Calaceto '517.
- 14. Keinanen et al. '715 disclose all of the limitations of the claims except that the large particles have a diameter of greater than about 2 microns and that the first scrubbing step has a pressure drop from about 1-10" water. Calaceto '517 discloses a venturi scrubbing system as described in paragraph 9 above. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the process of Keinanen et al. '715 by designing the venturi scrubber to have a pressure drop that is most efficient in removing a given particle size in a process gas stream.

### Allowable Subject Matter

15. Claims 7 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional references listed on the attached PTO-892 form disclose venturi scrubber systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank M. Lawrence whose telephone number is 571-272-1161. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frank M. Lawrence Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

Irah faure

Page 5

fl